Appx F. IX-4

1936. (Mezradi

File No. 224-36

Serial Nos. 1 to 6.

Previous References

Letter References.

207-39-1-b.
207-39-7-10.
144-45-1-3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for April 37.

SUBJECT.

Hôtel Imal Biradars in Sri Kodanda Swami Mutts at Seringapatam

Mr Mez Comm
no 1376 of 5-4-37

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

States that the proposal is not desirable
Commend itself to Govt.

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 1926.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No. 224-36

FILE HEADING.

Re: the Grant of a revised Pahadari to the Sons of Sri Rama Devaru Mutt - Seringapatam

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner

No. 1040/35-36. Dated 11.8.36. Received 14.8.36

Diary No. 161	Enclos. 1 Sanad Spare copies - 1 Copy 1½ Sheets	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the grant of additional insignia for the Sri Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

On the copy of the letter dated 12/3/24 [illegible] may issue a Pahadari [illegible] as he may consider [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

In a previous file viz mem 452-19-10, Nothing is mentioned about the insignia to the Mull Mankind found in the Forest Manual Excepting two Govt orders viz ① 23624-8-PW 102-19-4 ② 14.4.20 & No 1266/mem 452-19-6 ③ 6.10.20 which have authorised the insignia stated in page 8 of the Forest Manual.

The Amildar's letter page in ① dated ③ 19.6.36 refers to the Honnu, viz ಪಿಕ್ಕಿ, ಪೀಂಡಿ, ಕಾಯಿ etc in the Manual - page 60 as having been issued as per ord no 1246 ③ 14.3.24. It is stated that this is ಕಾಯಿ, though it is stated to be ಪೀಂಡಿ in the Manual. The Swamis of the Mull in his letter ③ 9.6.36 to the Amildar says that the contents of the Inam Khadais are as per Samad of ಕಾಯಿ ಕಾಯಿ ③ ಕಾಯಿ ಕಾಯಿ 20 ಕಾಯಿ ಕಾಯಿ. This the records received — The Swami claims additional honors on the strength of a Sanad of ಕಾಯಿ ಕಾಯಿ ③ ಕಾಯಿ ಕಾಯಿ. The custom of Swami Mallu is reported to have existed since a Devaru Mr. found place in the Ja Kadi Desai Raj.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

The Vernacular records of this Office vide rule – paras – page 1 in muz (15 3 - 35 - 4) – In real & genuine Sannads there will be a black imprint of the then Seal instead of a defaced & torn impression of lac as the top [?] of Nirup – Further it will have been given a number indicating that it has been entered in the register – At the end of the Nirup or Sannad only the words ([illegible]) are found instead of [illegible] ([illegible]) as in the present one.

Leaving out the variation in ink; the then Highness is shown to have written in his own hand as follows: —

[illegible Telugu script] 18 [illegible] 1818 [illegible]

[illegible Telugu script]

At the end it is noted as [illegible] & at the beginning it is stated as [illegible] 9-25-9. Again there is a variation in the date of this Nirup relied on by the Petr. Avanai [?].

Further there is a much earlier

Serial No.

Sanad than the one of [illegible] of the same year - It is not clear how two Sanads could have been issued in the same year in a short time of 2 months viz between [illegible] and [illegible]. This was [illegible] been confirmed by the present Sanad in para 3 of the enclosure to P.W.D & it is noted that it does not appear in the Cutcherry -

A | 5) In these circumstances if appeal the Minute of [illegible] both be called for to see if what is stated in the Govt's Manual is authoritatively authorised

6) It is further noted that the request for additional honours is not known as no mention of the Minute is made in the Shahmul Diary, Rahasya Regr etc of the year [illegible] Manual &c

(or)

5)

1) As there is no reference to the Minute in the Sha Amal, its and authenticity is doubtful. Further the G.O. of 24th March 1926 practically grants all the honours mentioned in Sanad of 12th Nov. 1915

point for order.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Mlg File No. 224 of 1936

General and Secr

necessity to revise the G.O. of 24th March

A The later Nimp may be called for

dcg 24/8/36

21/8/36 Issue dcg 24/8/36

GENL & REV. SECTT.

Letter no 293 of 25/8/36 to the Mysore Commissioner Calling for the later Nimp in original Specified therein. 2

Letter no C 1040/34-36 of 30.11.36 from the Mysore Commissioner furnishing information Called for. 3

From 24/8

27/12/36

10 Refce para supra. Mind refers is invited to the so-called Nimp of Sondur dt 20 work-06. The Nimp furnished by the Peshkar & received by the Records Mgr is a mutilated one & the Peshkar himself says it is an incomplete one. At the bottom of the document sealing wax impression is found unlike other Rahadari authenticating issued. This does not bear the usual initials of the Thasildar. The paper used also has a glossy appearance unlike the real one having a rough surface.

10) The Peshkar in his letter dt 25/10/36 4 A

Re: additional insignia to the Mahadhipatis of S.

(6

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mysore File No. 2 Q 24 of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

A { invites reference to a certified copy of Minute issued to him from the Palace office on 2-9-19- & Co calling for the original of this is sub'd

Y 6/ The petitioner does not say in his petition of 28th Oct. 1936 that he has obtained a copy of the Sannad granted on ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಛತ್ರ ನಕ್ಷೆ ನಂ ೨೬೬೯ ಮಾ ಫೆ 20 ಇ ಪ್ರವಾಸ, as stated by the case-worker in para 2 of the note. He states that he has copy of the sannad granted on ಅಂಬಾ ನಂ ೨೬೬೯ ದಿ ೨೯-೬-೧೯೦೬ ಇಸ್ಪೆ ಗೆ ॥. The former sannad is incomplete. There is nothing in it to show that it grants the

*D additional honours now claimed and recommended by the Mysore Govt. Nor does it contain the signature of Mahaarajah Krishnaraja Thus

is no reference to this sannad as vouch

*K in the Sha Anad. The existence of this vouch

is therefore a point for orders whether any orders as whether any additional honours should be granted?

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W D 0417—GPB—30,000—8 9 36

File No. of 193 . | General and Rev Secretariat

Serial No.

as proposed by the Mysore Com.

(3) It is a point for consideration whether taking into consideration the status of the matt it has not been granted sufficient number of honours. Attention is invited flag D on this and G. O. No. 1266 dated 6th Oct. 1920 Survey. 632-19-6 P

(4) A. The original of Nirup dated 27-11-1815 may be sd. and examined. Letter to H. S. & M. 2/12/1/2

No G 550 of 12. 1. 37 to the Huzur Secretary to H. H Calling for the original of the Certified copy of the Nirup of 27-11-1815 Corresponding to Sharavasamvatsara-Karthika Bahula Eleven—

Letter No 2296 of 1. 2. 1937 from the Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja stating that no reference re: the original Nirup issued in favour of the Mathadhipathi of Sri Kodanda Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam are forthcoming in his office

Letter No 2296 of 1. 2. 1937 from

Re: additional insignia of the Mahadhipathi of Sri Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mag File No. 224. of 1936-7 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

The Huzur Secretary to H. H. stating that no references are forthcoming in his office.

15) Refee para 14 supra. The original Nirup of 27-11-1815, a copy of which has been granted by the Manager of the Palace Office on 2-9-19 under his own signature was called for from the Huz. Sec to H. H & the latter says that moreover are forthcoming in his office. It is not known on what basis the then Manager of the Palace Office issued this Certified Copy. It is the contents of this Certified Copy that have found a place in the Honors Manual on page 50

16) When the question of a Rahadari from Govt came up before Govt, the then Member was pleased to observe that no Govt Rahadari need issue under the circumstances, that the matter may be left to who may do what is necessary within their respective jurisdictions. (Vide minute of 11-9-20 on page 15 of Mag 45-2-19 - put up for refee - Evidently the original of the Certified Copy does not appear to have been called for & the action of the Collr Concerned.

NOTE—Contin Sheet.

WD 6417 -GPB—80,000—5-9-36

Serial No.

17) Further it is clear from para 3 of the A's report of 3/7/36 that the present Swamis of the mutt has told the Amildar That under the authority of the Diwan issued in Magha of Vikrama Samvat 2011 the Pahadari of 12-3-vee (found in the Honors manual) was issued. Re: this also the Swamis of the mutt has on 28/10/36 said that the original is a torn one & incomplete — As submitted supra, the Diwan can not be accepted as a Genuine one. as Shakunal Registers presented here contain the entry of this Diwan (Vide page 1 of mutt 15-3-35 — 4 pubs for refee). x N.

18) Re: the SB called Diwan of 14.11.1818 x L

The points raised are as in para 2 of the note supra. The mte in para 2 of the P.W says that in the opinion of the Amildar the Diwan is a genuine one. it is respectfully submitted that this statement is not tenable as the Diwan bears a Sealing wax seal & that too totally removed & it bears the words = ಅಂಚೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸೀತಾರಾಮ ಜಯ". The word "ಅಂಚೆ" is not found in Genuine Sanads. Such entries like ಅಂಚೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸೀತಾರಾಮ ಜಯ

Serial No.

& Q" are not commonly seen at the end of the original Sanads — At the outset it is stated as :- ೧೫೮೯ ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೇ and at the end it is noted as :- ೧೮೮೯ ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೇ ; this Variation of date ~~as per Hindu Calendar~~ in this case is very conspicuous ; the Firman is also not found entered in the Shahmal Register & in these circumstances the Statement of the Amildar re: its genuineness is not acceptable

(4) ordinarily the Firmans in original will be in the possession of the grantees & while this is so, it is not known why the Swami should have applied for & obtained a Certified Copy of the Same from the Manager of the Palace Office ~~& explained his reasons~~ in his letter dated 18th Inst. If it is to be conceded that no further investigation about the authenticity of the reasons which have led to the inclusion of certain honors in the Inam Firman need be pursued, it is most respectfully Submitted that the request of the Swami for additional honors is inadmissible basing, as it does, the claim for the same on the Firmans of 1799.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000—8-9-36

4) Re: additional Birudus to Sri Kodanda Swami at ... Seringapatam

Mis. File No. of 1936. | General and Secretariat

Serial No.

26) Taken for orders ——

(S 9/3 50 3-37. 21) The letter of D.C. Mysore District, in the M.C. dated 3.7.1936 is for perusal. It is seen from the said letter that the Birudus granted to the Swami of Sri Ramadiraru Mullivirinjapatam (vide extract para 50 of the Manual of Birudus and other Honours) were granted on the authority of the Firuz issued on Asvija Masha, and that the earlier Firuz dated 6 have been issued in the name of Narthilla (dated 18th November 1818) was not then available, and which the Swami has now produced. The point for consideration is whether the Firuz issued in the name of Narthilla is a genuine one, as the copy of the same is not available in the Sharanal Registers available in the Secretariat records, and which is discussed in para 10.17 of the letter. The Minister is of opinion that it is a genuine one, and the D.C. Mysore recommends the grants of Birudus mentioned in the Firuz of 1818. The M.C. recommends that the Birudus mentioned in (S) 3 of the Statement may be granted. If the Firuz of 1818 is considered to be a genuine one, it is submitted that the extra Birudus recommended by the M.C. may be sanctioned.

Z

N.

L

A.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 1 - APR. 1937 963

2/1/61.

A. The genuineness of this Firuz, on

at Vizagapatam to Sri Kodandaramaswami
Mudali at Seringapatam -

and Revenue
ian

Mus File No. 224 of 1936-7 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

which the present recommendation is based in the grant of additional insignia to the Govt is not based only in such Govt.

2) On merits also, there seems to be grounds to grant these additional honours, at this juncture of time

3) The Mus Comrs proposal does not seem to be acceptable -

X P. U. O.

FINS 3 APR. 1937 No 6422

Fish M^r

1/pr
5/4

WU GH 2/6/41.

D/- 2nd

GS 7-4-37 £

2nd 2 7/4/-

No 1376 of 8.4.1937 to the Mysore Commissioner intimating that his proposal for the grant of additional insignia to the Govt of Sri Ramadevarama Mudali at Seringapatam does not commend itself to Govt at this particular juncture -

B 21/4/37

Note Contn Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Secretar

Serial No.

1040
35-6
Lee. England 11
Copy to G.A.C.L.

Mly 224-36-7

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 12th August 1936



From

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department.

13 AUG

4. The birudus which are claimed in excess of those allowed in the Sahadari issued on 18th March 1924 are mentioned in Column 3 of the comparative statement enclosed in the records. I recommend that these additional birudus may be permitted to be used by the Guru, the birudus and other paraphernalia mentioned in the Guru's later petition dated 17th February 1936 being disallowed.

5. The entire file of vernacular papers with the original Nirup is sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servan

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.1175/35 dated 3-7-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Grant of revised Rahadari to Swami of Sri Ramadevaru Mutt Seringapatam.

With reference to your letter No.1040 of 35-36 dated 26th April 36 calling for a report with my opinion on the petition of the Swami of the above Mutt praying for the grant of a revised rahadari including certain royal and special hirudus on the authority of Nirup dated 14th November 1818, I have the honor to submit herewith the detailed report of enquiry made by the Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk, and to state as follows:-

2 The existing rahadari of the Mutt was issued on 12th March 1924 (vide page 50 of the Manual of Hirudus and Rahadaris to Mathadhipathis) A comparative statement showing the hirudus covered under the above Rahadari those enumerated in the Nirup of 14th November 1818, and the extra honours now asked for as per the said Nirup is in the records.

3 The Amildar reports that there seems to have been two Nirups in one and the same matter, one issued in Karthika, and the other in Magha of the same year Bahudanya, that this was not however explicable but that the present Swamy gave him (Amildar) to understand that perhaps the earlier Nirup issued in Karthika was not available when the then Swami began actual tour and that, therefore, another Nirup was sought for and granted. The Amildar further reports that he was given to understand by the present Swami that the earlier Nirup issued in Karthika was not available even when the Rahadari was sought for during 1924, that it was only the later Nirup issued in Magha that was available and produced, under the authority of which, the rahadari dated 12th March 1924 was issued, that he has compared the two Nirups, and that the extra mariyades mentioned in the earlier Nirup seem to be harmless and may be allowed. The Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, recommends sanction to the proposal of the Amildar.

In the circumstances reported by the local officers, there appears to be no objection to the grant of extra honors to the Mutt as recommended by the Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk.

The original Nirup dated 14th November 1818 and the records of enquiry made by the Amildar, are submitted herewith.

"COPY" M N Chandalum
For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section M/3

File No. 224

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 293

To

The M.C.

Subject:— re: revised Rahadari to the Guru of Sri Ramadevaru Mutt at Srirangapatam.

ate of issue 21/8/36

igned by..... Subbarayappa Sri.

Read reference your letter No. 1040/35-36 dated 11-8-36 on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be so good as to obtain and return the Sanad original of [illegible] 20 [illegible]

Examined by.....

[illegible] 21/8/36

REVENUE & REV. SECRETARIAT
531
2 - DEC. 1936

No. C 1040 / 34 b

Cee-file 41

OFFICE THE MUZRAL COMMISSIONER

Bangalore,..28th..November ...193 6.

Mz 39 Mz 224-36-3

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY
1 - DEC. 1936

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2C3/36 dated 25th instant calling for the original Sannad of "ಅಂಕ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಮಂಜ ಶೆಣೈ ಮಂಜನಾಥ" granted to the Guru of Sri Padavaru Matt at Perinpatam, I have the honor to forward herewith the said with the connected records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, with his letter No. I.1175/35-36 dated 25th instant (300 enclosed).

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
M N Anantaraman.
Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent for Head Quarters

Letter No.M.1175/35-36 dated 05-11-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your No.C.1040/35-36 dated 1st September 1936 calling for the "ಉಡ್ಡಾಂಶ್ಯ ಕ" ಶಂಷ್ಟ ಹುಡ್ಡಲಂಬುಷ್ಟ ಎಂಶ " granted to the Guru of Sri Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam, I have the honor to forward herewith a portion of the original and a copy thereof together with a copy of the Nirupa of "ಎಮಂತ ಎಂತ ಕ" received from the Amildar, Seringapatam with his No.C.241/36-

---

"SECRET"

M. N. Srinivasa Iyengar

for Muzrai Commissioner.

F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mus

File No. ~~MISC~~ 224-36

Serial No. 4.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....531.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue.....12.-1-37.....

Mr. Mir Safdar Hussain

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

TYPING SECTION, G. & R. DEPT. 12 JAN 1937

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

No. 950.

To

The Huzur ~~&~~ H. H

Sir,

I am directed to state that as the instance of the Mahadhipathi of Sri Kodanda Ramadevaru Avaru at Srirangapatna a Certified Copy of Firuz of corresponding to A.D. 27-11-1815 / purporting to be a Rahadari issued in favour of the Mahadhipathi dept to above is found to have been issued on 2-9-19 under the Signature of the Maharaja's Palace office and to request upon the as to

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

12/1/37

among the records of your
office. Sophia, ca

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 950 Mog 224-36-4

Dated, Bangalore 12th January 1937

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Huzur Secretary to

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that at the instance of the Matadhipath of Sri Kodanda Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam, a certified copy of Nirup dated 27th November 1815 corresponding to ಆಂಗ್ಲ ೧೮೧೫ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವೆಂಬರ್ ೨೭ purporting to be a Kahadari issued in favour of the Matadhipathi referred to above is found to have been issued on 2nd September 1919 under the signature of the Manager, Palace office, and to request you to be so good as to forward for reference and return the original thereof if it is available among the records of your office. Reply at an early date is solicited.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Illegible

for General Secretary to Govt.

THE PALACE,

MYSORE

1st February 1937.

2296

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 749 4 - FEB. 1937 MUZRAI BRANCH

FROM

RAJASABHABHUSHANA

T. THUMBOO CHETTY, ESQ., B.A., O.B.E.,

Huzur Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY 3 - FEB. 1937

To

The General Secretary

to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your office No.950 dated 12-1-1937 calling for the original Nirup issued in favour of the Mutadhipathi of Sri Kodanda Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam, I have the honour to state that no references are forthcoming in this office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Huzur Secretary.

BRA/DFTR.

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 8th April 1937.

No. 1376 Inc 142 file with a cert Containing original Samnad.

From Mz 224-36 6 m

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir, Subject.- Grant of a revised Rahadari to the Guru of Sri Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1040/35-36 dated 30th November 1936 on the above subject I am directed to state that your proposal for the grant of additional insignia to the Guru of Sri Ramadevaru Mutt at Seringapatam does not commend itself to Government at this distance of time.

The entire records received from you are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mir Sajan Imam

For General Secretary to Government.

G—1]

BJECT .....

.....

.....

3 Copies

Section Mus

File No. 224-36

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to ..5314/45.

No. 1376 Secret one 2 files with a cover containing the M.C. original Sanad.

To

Subject.— Grant of a revised Rahadari to the Guru of Sri Rama Devaru Mutt at Srirangapatam.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 9/4/37

Sir,

With reference to your letter No correspondence ending with dated 104/35-36 dated 30 Nov. 36 on the above Subject ~~and the Subsequent letter No. C 1040/36-6 dated 30.11.36~~

I am directed to state that your proposal for the grant of additional insignia to the Rama Devaru Mutt at Srirangapatam does not commend itself to Govt. as the distance of this ~~that it is also not necessary to accede to~~ ~~and as the distance of this~~ ~~of additional honours is~~ considered necessary.

I am &c

Signed by Safdar Hussain

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

as the genuineness of the signs on which proposals for the grant of additional honours are based has not been proved. I want to add that on the also there are two

dis WD 53— PB—15,000—26-8-32

orders of the Govt. See 2 copies